

Technical evaluation of tender for Supply of Pharmacy Lab Equipment

LOT # 1 Common Lab Equipment

In compliance with Rule 6 (2)(b) “Single Stage, Two Envelope Procedure” laid down in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (KPPRA) Rules -2014, a tender (PAF: IASTPHMD-EQPT-ITB-22) was published for the “*Supply of pharmacy Lab Equipment.*” Accordingly, the Tender was opened on **29-08-2022**. The Tender was categorized into 15 Lots, and a total of six (06) bidders submitted bids for **Lot No. 1. Common Lab Equipment** i.e., i) M/S PHILCO; ii) M/S NRG Enterprises; iii) M/S JAM Sons; iv) M/S Health and Hygiene; v) M/S RAYS Technologies; vi) M/S SU Enterprises.

The technical committee thoroughly evaluated all the bids and Four (04) bidders i.e., M/S PHILCO; ii) M/S NRG Enterprises; iii) M/S Rays Technologies; iv) M/S SU Enterprises were found *eligible* according to minimum eligibility criteria of Tender document. While, M/S JAM Sons (Clause 28(2C) and Section 4: RFP, S. No. 5), and M/S Health and Hygiene (Section. 3 (6)), did not fulfill the minimum bid eligibility requirement and hence stand *ineligible*. Whereas, M/s NRG Enterprises and M/S SU Enterprises could not secure the minimum qualification score (70%) and hence stood *disqualified*.

The two bidder's M/S PHILCO and M/S RAYS Technologies were found complying with the technical specifications as per tender document.

Eligibility:





S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Eligibility	Remarks
1.	PHILCO	Eligible	
2.	NRG Enterprises	Eligible	
3.	JAM Sons	Ineligible	Do not meet eligibility criterion
4.	Health and Hygiene	Ineligible	Do not meet eligibility criterion
5.	RAYS Technologies	Eligible	
6.	SU Enterprises	Eligible	

Qualification:

S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Score	Remarks
1.	PHILCO	83	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
2.	NRG Enterprises	48	Disqualified; Could not secure minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
3.	RAYS Technologies	78	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
4.	SU Enterprises	58	Disqualified; Could not secure minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)

Recommendations:

It is therefore recommended to the procurement committee that the financial offer of the qualified bidders, i.e., M/S PHILCO and M/S RAYS Technologies may be opened. Furthermore, the financial offers of the bidders who could not qualify technically may be returned unopened to respective bidders.

1. Dr. Azhar Hussain, PAF-IAST Convener ----- 
2. Dr. Fazli Wahid, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 
3. Dr. Muhammad Imran Khan, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 
4. Dr. Humaira Ayub, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 

Dated: 19-09-2022

Technical evaluation of tender for Supply of Pharmacy Lab Equipment

LOT # 2 Biochemical Analyzer

In compliance with Rule 6 (2)(b) "Single Stage, Two Envelope Procedure" laid down in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (KPPRA) Rules -2014, a tender (PAF: IASTPHMD-EQPT-ITB-22) was published for the "*Supply of pharmacy Lab Equipment.*" Accordingly, the Tender was opened on **29-08-2022**. The Tender was categorized into 15 Lots, and a total of one (01) bidder submitted bid for **Lot No. 2. Biochemical Analyzer** i.e., i) M/S JAM Sons.

The technical committee thoroughly evaluated the bid submitted by M/S JAM Sons and was found **ineligible** according to minimum eligibility criteria (Clause 28(2C) and Section 4: RFP, S. No. 5), of the tender document.

Eligibility:

S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Eligibility	Remarks
1.	JAM Sons	Ineligible	Do not meet eligibility criterion

Recommendations:

It is therefore recommended to the procurement committee that the financial offer/ bid of the M/S JAM Sons who was non responsive may be returned unopened to respective bidder. It is also recommended that the respective lot should be retendered.

1. Dr. Azhar Hussain, PAF-IAST Convener ----- 

2. Dr. Fazli Wahid, PAF_IASST, Member----- 

3. Dr. Muhammad Imran Khan, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 

4. Dr. Humaira Ayub, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 

Dated: 19-09-2022

Technical evaluation of tender for Supply of Pharmacy Lab Equipment

LOT # 3 Incubator

In compliance with Rule 6 (2)(b) “Single Stage, Two Envelope Procedure” laid down in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (KPPRA) Rules -2014, a tender (PAF: IAST-PHMD-EQPT-ITB-22) was published for the “*Supply of pharmacy Lab Equipment.*” Accordingly, the tender was opened on 29-08-2022. The Tender was categorized into 15 Lots, and a total of nine (09) bidders submitted bids for Lot No. 3. Incubator i.e., i) M/S MEDICO; ii) M/S Health & Hygiene; iii) M/S RAYS Technologies; iv) M/S PHILCO; v) M/S HospiCare System; vi) M/S SU Enterprises; vii) M/S JAM Sons; viii) M/S NRG Enterprises; ix) M/S LifeTech.

The technical committee thoroughly evaluated all the bids and six (06) bidders i.e., i) M/S MEDCO; ii) M/S RAYS Technologies; iii) M/S PHILCO; iv) M/S HospiCare System; v) M/S SU Enterprises; and vi) M/S NRG Enterprises, were found *eligible* according to minimum eligibility criteria of Tender document. While, M/S JAM Sons (Clause 28(2C) and Section 4 of RFP, Sr. No. 5), M/S Health & Hygiene, and M/S LifeTech (Section. 3, clause 6) (instruction to bidders ITB Section.2 clause 32.1 /RFP), did not fulfil the minimum bid eligibility requirement and hence stand *ineligible*. Whereas, M/S MEDCO; M/S SU Enterprises; and M/S NRG Enterprises, could not secure the minimum qualification score (70%) and hence stood *disqualified*. The three bidders, RAYS Technologies, M/S PHILCO, and M/S HospiCare System were found complying with the technical specifications as per the tender document.

Eligibility:





S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Eligibility	Remarks
1.	MEDCO	Eligible	
2.	Health & Hygiene	Ineligible	Do does not meet eligibility criterion
3.	RAYS Technologies	Eligible	
4.	PHILCO	Eligible	
5.	HospiCare System	Eligible	
6.	SU Enterprises	Eligible	
7.	JAM Sons	Ineligible	Do does not meet eligibility criterion
8.	NRG Enterprises	Eligible	
9.	LifeTech	Ineligible	Do does not meet eligibility criterion

Qualification:

S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Score	Remarks
1.	MEDCO	58	Disqualified; Could not secure minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
2.	RAYS Technologies	78	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
3.	PHILCO	83	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
4.	Hospicare System	72	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
5.	SU Enterprises	58	Disqualified; Could not secure minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
6.	NRG Enterprises	47	Disqualified; Could not secure minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)

Recommendations:

It is therefore recommended to the procurement committee that the financial offers of the said bidders, i.e., RAYS Technologies, M/S PHILCO, and Hospicare System may be opened. Furthermore, the financial offers/ bids of the bidders who could not qualify technically may be returned unopened to respective bidders.

1. Dr. Azhar Hussain, PAF-IAST Convener ----- 
2. Dr. Fazli Wahid, PAF_IAST, Member ----- 
3. Dr. M. Imran Khan, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 
4. Dr. Humaira Ayub, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 

Dated: 20-09-2022

Technical evaluation of tender for Supply of Pharmacy Lab Equipment

LOT # 4 Centrifuges

In compliance with Rule 6 (2)(b) "Single Stage, Two Envelope Procedure" laid down in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (KPPRA) Rules -2014, a tender (PAF: IAST-PHMD-EQPT-ITB-22) as published for the "Supply of pharmacy Lab Equipment." Accordingly, the tender was opened on 29-08-2022. The Tender was categorized into 15 Lots, and a total of nine (09) bidders submitted bids for Lot No. 4. Centrifuges i.e., i) M/S Health & Hygiene; ii) M/S Key Scientific Co; iii) M/S World Wide Scientific ; iv) M/S Scientific Suppliers Co; v) M/S PHILCO; vi) M/S HospiCare system; vii) M/S SU Enterprises; viii) M/S JAM Sons; ix) M/S NRG Enterprises.

The technical committee thoroughly evaluated all the bids and six (06) bidders i.e., M/S PHILCO; ii) M/S NRG Enterprises; iii) M/S Worldwide Scientific; iv) M/S SU Enterprises; v) M/S HospiCare system; and vi) M/S Key Scientific Co, were found *eligible* according to minimum eligibility criteria of Tender document. While, M/S JAM Sons (Clause 28(2C) and Section 4: RFP, S. No. 5), M/S Scientific Suppliers and M/S Health and Hygiene ((Section. 3 (6), instruction to bidders ITB Section.2 clause 32.1 /RFP), did not fulfill the minimum bid eligibility requirement and hence stand *ineligible*. Whereas, M/S NRG Enterprises, M/S Key Scientific Co and M/S SU Enterprises could not secure the minimum qualification score (70%) hence, stand *disqualified*. The three bidder's M/S PHILCO, M/S HospiCare System and M/S World Wide Scientific were found complying with the technical specifications as per the tender document.

Eligibility:

S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Eligibility	Remarks
1.	Health and Hygiene	Ineligible	Do does not meet eligibility criterion
2.	Key Scientific Co	Eligible	
3.	World Wide Scientific	Eligible	
4.	Scientific Suppliers	Ineligible	Do does not meet eligibility criterion
5.	PHILCO	Eligible	
6.	HospiCare System	Eligible	

7.	SU Enterprises	Eligible	
8.	JAM Sons	Ineligible	Do does not meet eligibility criterion
9.	NRG Enterprises	Eligible	


Qualification:

S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Score	Remarks
1.	World Wide Scientific	76	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
2.	NRG Enterprises	46	Disqualified; Could not secure minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
3.	PHILCO	76	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
4.	SU Enterprises	59	Disqualified; Could not secure minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
5.	Hospicare System	72	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
6.	Key Scientific Co	59	Disqualified; Could not secure minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)

Recommendations:

It is therefore recommended to the procurement committee that the financial offer of the said bidder, i.e., M/S World Wide Scientific, M/S PHILCO and Hospicare System may be opened. Furthermore, the financial offers/ bids of the bidders who could not qualify technically, may be returned unopened to respective bidders.

1. Dr. Azhar Hussain, PAF-IASST Convener ----- 

2. Dr. Fazli Wahid, PAF_IASST, Member----- 

3. Dr. Muhammad Imran Khan, PAF-IASST, Member ----- 

4. Dr. Humaira Ayub, PAF-IASST, Member----- 

Dated: 20-09-2022

Technical evaluation of tender for Supply of Pharmacy Lab Equipment

LOT # 5 Biosafety Cabinets

In compliance with Rule 6 (26 (2)(b) "Single Stage, Two Envelope Procedure" laid down in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (KPPRA) Rules -2014, a tender (PAF: IAST-PHMD-EQPT-ITB-22) was published for the "Supply of pharmacy Lab Equipment." Accordingly, the Tender was opened on 29-08-2022. The Tender was categorized into 15 Lots, and a total of Eight (08) bidders submitted bids for Lot No. 5. Biosafety Cabinets i.e., i) M/S Global Marketing Services; ii) M/S SAHAAB Enterprises; iii) M/S HospiCare System; iv) M/S CNA International; v) M/S NRG Enterprises; vi) M/S RAYS Technologies; vii) M/S JAM Sons; viii) M/S SU Enterprises.

The technical committee thoroughly evaluated all the bids and six (06) bidders i.e., i) SAHAAB Enterprises ii) M/S HospiCare System; iii) M/S NRG Enterprises; iv) M/S CNA International; v) M/S RAYS Technologies; vi) M/S SU Enterprises, were found *eligible* according to minimum eligibility criteria of Tender document. While, M/S JAM Sons (Clause 28(2C) and Section 4: RFP, S. No. 5), M/S Global Marketing Services did not comply with minimum specification (instruction to bidders ITB Section.2 clause 32.1 /RFP) and hence stand *ineligible*. Whereas, M/S NRG Enterprises, M/S SAHAAB Enterprises, M/S CNA International could not secure the minimum qualification score (70%) hence, stand *disqualified*.

The three bidder's M/S HospiCare System, M/S SU Enterprises, and M/S RAYS Technologies were found complying with the technical specifications as per tender document.

Eligibility:





S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Eligibility	Remarks
1.	Global Marketing Services (GSM)	Ineligible	Do does not meet eligibility criterion
2.	SAHAAB Enterprises	Eligible	
3.	HospiCare System	Eligible	
4.	CNA International	Eligible	
5.	NRG Enterprises	Eligible	
6.	RAYS Technologies	Eligible	
7.	JAM Sons	Ineligible	Do does not meet eligibility criterion
8.	SU Enterprises	Eligible	

Qualification:

S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Score	Remarks
1.	Hospicare System	72	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
2.	NRG Enterprises	49	Disqualified; Could not secure minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
3.	SU Enterprises	72	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
4.	RAYS Technologies	75	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
5.	SAHAAB Enterprises	57	Disqualified; Could not secure minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
6.	CNA International	56	Disqualified; Could not secure minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)

Recommendations:

It is therefore recommended to the procurement committee that the financial offers of the said bidders, i.e., M/S Hospicare System, SU Enterprises and M/S Rays Technologies may be opened. Furthermore, the financial offers/ bids of the bidders who could not qualify technically, may be returned unopened to respective bidders.

1. Dr. Azhar Hussain, PAF-IAST Convener ----- 
2. Dr. Fazli Wahid, PAF_IAST, Member ----- 
3. Dr. Muhammad Imran Khan, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 
4. Dr. Humaira Ayub, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 

Technical evaluation of tender for Supply of Pharmacy Lab Equipment

LOT # 6 Micropipettes

In compliance with the Rule 6 (2)(b) “Single Stage, Two Envelope Procedure” laid down in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (KPPRA) Rules -2014, a tender (PAF: IAST-PHMD-EQPT-ITB-22) was published for the “*Supply of pharmacy Lab Equipment.*” Accordingly, the Tender was opened on 29-08-2022. The Tender was categorized into 15 Lots, and a total of eight (08) bidders submitted bids for Lot No. 6. Micropipettes i.e., i) M/S World Wide Scientific; ii) M/S CNA International; iii) M/S NRG Enterprises; iv) M/S JAM Sons; v) M/S RAYS Technologies; vi) M/S IBM Technologies; vii) M/S Health & Hygiene; and viii) M/S PHILCO.

The technical committee thoroughly evaluated all the bids and six (06) bidders i.e., i) World Wide Scientific; ii) M/S CNA International; iii) M/S NRG Enterprises; iv) M/S RAYS Technologies; v) M/S IBM Technology; and vi) M/S PHILCO, were found *eligible* according to minimum eligibility criteria of Tender document. While, M/S JAM Sons (Clause 28(2C) and Section 4: RFP, S. No. 5), and M/S Health & hygiene (instruction to bidders ITB Section.2 clause 32.1 /RFP, section.3 (6)), did not fulfill the minimum bid eligibility requirement and hence stand *ineligible*. Whereas, M/S NRG Enterprises, M/S CNA International and M/S IBM Technology could not secure the minimum qualification score (70%) hence, stand *disqualified*.

The three bidder's M/S World Wide Scientific, M/S RAYS Technologies; and M/S PHILCO were found complying with the technical specifications as per tender document.

Eligibility:





S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Eligibility	Remarks
1.	World Wide Scientific	Eligible	
2.	CNA International	Eligible	
3.	NRG Enterprises	Eligible	
4.	JAM Sons	Ineligible	Do does not meet eligibility criterion
5.	RAYS Technologies	Eligible	
6.	IBM Technology	Eligible	
7.	Health & Hygiene	Ineligible	Do does not meet eligibility criterion
8.	PHILCO	Eligible	

Qualification:

S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Score	Remarks
1.	World Wide Scientific	74	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
2.	NRG Enterprises	49	Disqualified; Could not secure minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
3.	RAYS Technologies	74	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
4.	PHILCO	73	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
5.	CNA International	59	Disqualified; Could not secure minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
6.	IBM Technology	38	Disqualified; Could not secure minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)

Recommendations:

It is therefore recommended to the procurement committee that the financial offer of the said bidder, i.e., M/S World Wide Scientific, M/S Rays Technologies and M/S PHILCO may be opened. Furthermore, the financial offers/ bids of the bidders who could not qualify technically, may be returned unopened to respective bidders.

1. Dr. Azhar Hussain, PAF-IAST Convener ----- 
2. Dr. Fazli Wahid, PAF_IAST, Member ----- 
3. Dr. M. Imran Khan, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 
4. Dr. Humaira Ayub, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 

Dated: 22-09-2022

Technical evaluation of tender for Supply of Pharmacy Lab Equipment

LOT # 7 Fridge and Freezers

In compliance with Rule 6 (2)(b) "Single Stage, Two Envelope Procedure" laid down in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (KPPRA) Rules -2014, a tender (PAF: IAST-PHMD-EQPT-ITB-22) was published for the "Supply of pharmacy Lab Equipment." Accordingly, the Tender was opened on 29-08-2022. The Tender was categorized into 15 Lots, and a total of ten (10) bidders submitted bids for Lot No. 7. Fridge and Freezers i.e., i) M/S Muslim Medical Science; ii) M/S Irfan Enterprises; iii) M/S Global Marketing services (GMS); iv) M/S SAHAAB Enterprises; v) M/S NRG Enterprises; vi) M/S SU Enterprises; vii) M/S PHILCO; viii) M/S JAM Sons; ix) M/S MEDCO; x) M/S Key Scientific Co.

The technical committee thoroughly evaluated all the bids and five (05) bidders i.e., i) M/S Irfan Enterprises; ii) M/S SAHAAB Enterprises; iii) M/S SU Enterprises; iv) M/S PHILCO; and v) M/S MEDCO were found *eligible* according to minimum eligibility criteria of Tender document. M/S JAM Sons (Clause 28(2C) and Section 4: RFP, S. No. 5), M/S Muslim Medical Science, M/S Key Scientific Co, M/S Global Marketing services GMS, and M/S NRG Enterprises (instruction to bidders ITB Section. 2 clause 32.1 /RFP, Section. 3 (6)), did not fulfill the minimum bid eligibility requirement and hence stand *ineligible*. As M/S Irfan Enterprises, M/S SAHAAB Enterprises, M/S SU Enterprises, M/S MEDCO could not secure the minimum qualification score (70%) hence, stand *disqualified*.

The one bidder M/S PHILCO was found complying with the technical specifications as per the tender document.

Eligibility:

S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Eligibility	Remarks
1.	Muslim Medical Science	Ineligible	Do does not meet eligibility criterion
2.	Irfan Enterprises	Eligible	
3.	Global Marketing System	Ineligible	Do does not meet eligibility criterion
4.	SAHAAB Enterprises	Eligible	
5.	NRG Enterprises	Ineligible	Do does not meet eligibility criterion
6.	SU Enterprises	Eligible	

7.	PHILCO	Eligible	
8.	MEDCO	Eligible	
9.	JAM Sons	Ineligible	Do does not meet eligibility criterion
10.	Key Scientific Co	Ineligible	Do does not meet eligibility criterion

Qualification:

S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Score	Remarks
1.	Irfan Enterprises	59	Disqualified; Could not secure minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
2.	SAHAAB Enterprises	57	Disqualified; Could not secure minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
3.	SU Enterprises	60	Disqualified; Could not secure minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
4.	PHILCO	76	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
5.	MEDCO	59	Disqualified; Could not secure minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)

Recommendations:

It is therefore recommended to the procurement committee that the financial offer of the said bidder, i.e., M/S PHILCO may be opened. Furthermore, the financial offers/ bids of the bidders who could not qualify technically may be returned unopened to respective bidders.

1. Dr. Azhar Hussain, PAF-IAST Convener ----- 

2. Dr. Fazli Wahid, PAF_IAST, Member ----- 

3. Dr. M. Imran Khan, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 

4. Dr. Humaira Ayub, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 

Dated: 22-09-2022

Technical evaluation of tender for Supply of Pharmacy Lab Equipment

LOT # 8. Spectrophotometer

In compliance with the Rule 6 (2)(b) "Single Stage, Two Envelope Procedure" laid down in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (KPPRA) Rules -2014, a tender (PAF: IASTPHMD-EQPT-ITB-22) was published for the "*Supply of pharmacy Lab Equipment.*" Accordingly, the Tender was opened on 29-08-2022. The Tender was categorized into 15 Lots, and a total of five (05) bidders submitted bids for Lot No. 8. Spectrophotometer i.e., i) M/S JAM Sons; ii) M/S CNA International iii) M/S Life Tech; iv) RAYS Technologies; v) M/S NRG Enterprises.

The technical committee thoroughly evaluated all the bids and only two (02) bidders i.e., M/S CNA International; and ii) M/S Rays Technologies were found *eligible* according to minimum eligibility criteria of Tender document. While, M/S JAM Sons (Clause 28(2C) and Section 4: RFP, S. No. 5), M/S LifeTech, and M/S NRG Enterprises, (instruction to bidders ITB Section. 2 clause 32.1 /RFP, Section. 3 (6)) did not fulfill the minimum bid eligibility requirement and specifications, hence stand *ineligible*.

The two bidder's M/S CNA International, M/S RAYS Technologies were found complying with the technical specifications as per tender document.

Eligibility:

S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Eligibility	Remarks
1.	JAM Sons	Ineligible	Do does not meet eligibility criterion
2.	CNA International	Eligible	
3.	LifeTech	Ineligible	Do does not meet eligibility criterion
4.	RAYS Enterprises	Eligible	
5.	NRG Enterprises	Ineligible	Do does not meet eligibility criterion





Qualification:

S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Score	Remarks
1.	CNA International	72	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)

2.	RAYS Technologies	75	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
----	-------------------	----	--

Recommendations:

It is therefore recommended to the procurement committee that the financial offer of the said bidder, i.e., M/S CNA International and M/S RAYS Technologies may be opened. Furthermore, the financial offers/ bids of the bidders who could not qualify technically, may be returned unopened to respective bidders.

1. Dr. Azhar Hussain, PAF-IAST Convener ----- 
2. Dr. Fazli Wahid, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 
3. Dr. M. Imran Khan, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 
4. Dr. Humaira Ayub, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 

Technical evaluation of tender for Supply of Pharmacy Lab Equipment

LOT # 9. Microscope

In compliance with the Rule 6 (2)(b) “Single Stage, Two Envelope Procedure” laid down in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (KPPRA) Rules -2014, a tender (PAF: IASTPHMD-EQPT-ITB-22) was published for the “*Supply of pharmacy Lab Equipment.*” Accordingly, the Tender was opened on 29-08-2022. The Tender was categorized into 15 Lots, and a total of six (06) bidders submitted bids for **Lot No. 9. Microscope** i.e., i) M/S Global Marketing services (GMS); ii) M/S Athar & Sons; iii) M/S JAM Sons; iv) M/S NRG Enterprises; v) M/S CNA International; vi) M/S RAAB Scientific Solutions.

The technical committee thoroughly evaluated all the bids and three (03) bidders i.e., i) M/S Global Marketing services (GMS); ii) M/S NRG Enterprises; and iii) M/S RAAB Scientific Solutions, were found *eligible* according to minimum eligibility criteria of Tender document. While, M/S JAM Sons (Clause 28(2C) and Section 4. RFP, S. No. 5), and M/S Athar & Sons; M/S CNA International, did not comply with minimum specifications (instruction to bidders ITB Section. 2 clause 32.1 /RFP, section. 3 (6)) and hence stand *ineligible*, whereas NRG Enterprises could not secure the minimum qualification score (70%) and hence stood *disqualified*.

The two bidders, M/S Global Marketing services (GMS); and M/S RAAB Scientific Solutions, were found complying with the technical specifications as per the tender document.

Eligibility:





S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Eligibility	Remarks
1.	Global Marketing Services (GSM)	Eligible	
2.	NRG Enterprises	Eligible	
3.	RAAB Scientific Solutions	Eligible	
4.	JAM Sons	Ineligible	Do not meet eligibility criterion
5.	Athar & Sons	Ineligible	Do not meet eligibility criterion
6.	CNA International	Ineligible	Do not meet eligibility criterion

Qualification:

S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Score	Remarks
1.	Global Marketing Services (GSM)	76	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
2.	NRG Enterprises	56	Disqualified; Could not secure minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
3.	RAAB Scientific Solutions	72	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)

Recommendations:

It is therefore recommended to the procurement committee that the financial offer of the qualified bidders, i.e., M/S Global Marketing Services (GMS) and M/S RAAB Scientific Solutions may be opened. Furthermore, the financial offers of the bidders who could not qualify technically may be returned unopened to respective bidders.

1. Dr. Azhar Hussain, PAF-IAST Convener ----- 
2. Dr. Fazli Wahid, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 
3. Dr. M. Imran Khan, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 
4. Dr. Humaira Ayub, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 

Technical evaluation of tender for Supply of Pharmacy Lab Equipment
LOT # 10. Rotary Evaporator

In compliance with the Rule 6 (2)(b) “Single Stage, Two Envelope Procedure” laid down in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (KPPRA) Rules -2014, a tender (PAF:IAST-PHMD-EQPT-ITB-22) was published for the “*Supply of pharmacy Lab Equipment.*” Accordingly, the Tender was opened on 29-08-2022. The Tender was categorized into 15 Lots, and a total of four (04) bidders submitted bids for **Lot No. 10. Rotary Evaporator** i.e., i) M/S JAM Sons; ii) M/S NRG Enterprises; iii) M/S SU Enterprises; iv) M/S RAYS Technologies.

The technical committee thoroughly evaluated all the bids and two (02) bidders i.e., M/S NRG Enterprises; and ii) M/S RAYS Technologies were found *eligible* according to minimum eligibility criteria of Tender document. While, M/S JAM Sons (Clause 28(2C) and Section 4. RFP, S. No. 5), and M/S SU Enterprises did not comply with minimum specifications (instruction to bidders ITB Section. 2 clause 32.1 /RFP, section. 3 (6)) and hence stand *ineligible*. Whereas, M/S NRG Enterprises could not secure the minimum qualification score (70%) and hence stand *disqualified*.

The one bidder, M/S RAYS Enterprises was found complying with the technical specifications as per the tender document.

Eligibility:





S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Eligibility	Remarks
1.	JAM Sons	Ineligible	Do does not meet eligibility criterion
2.	NRG Enterprises	Eligible	
3.	SU Enterprises	Ineligible	Do does not meet eligibility criterion
4.	RAYS Technologies	Eligible	

Qualification:

S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Score	Remarks
1.	NRG Enterprises	47	Disqualified; Could not secure minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
2.	RAYS Technologies	76	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)

Recommendations:

It is therefore recommended to the procurement committee that the financial offer of the said bidder, i.e., M/S Rays Technologies may be opened. Furthermore, the financial offers/ bids of the bidders who could not qualify technically, may be returned unopened to the respective bidders.

1. Dr. Azhar Hussain, PAF-IAST Convener ----- 
2. Dr. Fazli Wahid, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 
3. Dr. M. Imran Khan, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 
4. Dr. Humaira Ayub, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 

Dated: 23-09-2022

Technical evaluation of tender for Supply of Pharmacy Lab Equipment
LOT # 11. Power Lab with Data Acquisition system

In compliance with the Rule 6 (2)(b) "Single Stage, Two Envelope Procedure" laid down in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (KPPRA) Rules -2014, a tender (PAF: IASTPHMD-EQPT-ITB-22) was published for the "*Supply of pharmacy Lab Equipment.*" Accordingly, the Tender was opened on 29-08-2022. The Tender was categorized into 15 Lots, and only one (01) bidder submitted bid for Lot No. 11. Power Lab with Data Acquisition system i.e., M/S SHAHIR Enterprises.

The technical committee thoroughly evaluated the bid and the bidder i.e., i) M/S SHAHIR Enterprises; was found *eligible* according to minimum eligibility criteria of Tender document. The bidder, M/S SHAHIR Enterprises was also found complying with the technical specifications as per the tender document.

Eligibility:





S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Eligibility	Remarks
1.	SHAHIR Enterprises	Eligible	

Qualification:

S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Score	Remarks
1.	SHAHIR Enterprises	73	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)

Recommendations:

It is therefore recommended that the procurement committee may open the financial offer of the said bidder, i.e., M/S SHAHIR Enterprises.

1. Dr. Azhar Hussain, PAF-IAST Convener ----- 
2. Dr. Fazli Wahid, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 
3. Dr. M. Imran Khan, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 
4. Dr. Humaira Ayub, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 

Dated: 23-09-2022

Technical evaluation of tender for Supply of Pharmacy Lab Equipment

LOT # 12. ECG Machine with Accessories

In compliance with the Rule 6 (2)(b) "Single Stage, Two Envelope Procedure" laid down in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (KPPRA) Rules -2014, a tender (PAF:IAST-PHMD-EQPT-ITB-22) was published for the "*Supply of pharmacy Lab Equipment.*" Accordingly, the Tender was opened on **29-08-2022**. The Tender was categorized into 15 Lots, and a total of three (03) bidders submitted bids for **Lot No. 12. ECG Machine with Accessories** i.e., i) M/S M/S CNA International; ii) M/S Edulab; iii) M/S IBM Technology.

The technical committee thoroughly evaluated all the bids and only one (01) bidder i.e., i) M/S CNA International, was found *eligible* according to minimum eligibility criteria of Tender document. While, M/S Edulab and MS IMB Technology (instruction to bidders ITB Section. 2 clause 32.1 /RFP, section. 3 (6)) did not fulfil minimum bid eligibility requirement and hence were *ineligible*.

The one bidder M/S CNA International was found complying with the technical specifications as per tender document.

Eligibility:





S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Eligibility	Remarks
1.	CNA International	Eligible	
2.	IBM Technology	Ineligible	Do not meet eligibility criterion
3.	Edulab	Ineligible	Do not meet eligibility criterion

Qualification:

S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Score	Remarks
1.	CNA International	72	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)

Recommendations:

It is therefore recommended to the procurement committee that the financial offer of the said bidder, i.e., M/S CNA International may be opened. Furthermore, the financial offers/ bids of the bidders who could not qualify technically, may be returned unopened to respective bidders.

1. Dr. Azhar Hussain, PAF-IAST Convener ----- 
2. Dr. Fazli Wahid, PAF-IAST, Member----- 
3. Dr. M. Imran Khan, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 
4. Dr. Humaira Ayub, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 

Dated: 26-09-2022

Technical evaluation of tender for Supply of Pharmacy Lab Equipment

LOT # 13. Lyophilizer

In compliance with the Rule 6 (2)(b) "Single Stage, Two Envelope Procedure" laid down in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (KPPRA) Rules -2014, a tender (PAF:IAST-PHMD-EQPT-ITB-22) was published for the "Supply of pharmacy Lab Equipment." Accordingly, the Tender was opened on 29-08-2022. The Tender was categorized into 15 Lots, and a total of six (06) bidders submitted bids for Lot No. 13. Lyophilizer i.e., i) M/S JAM Sons; ii) M/S NRG Enterprises; iii) M/S LifeTech ; iv) M/S RAYS Technologies ; v) M/S SAHAAB Enterprises; vi) M/S SU Enterprises.

The technical committee thoroughly evaluated all the bids and four (04) bidders i.e., i) M/S NRG Enterprises; ii) M/S RAYS Technologies; iii) M/S SAHAAB Enterprises; and iv) M/S SU Enterprises were found *eligible* according to minimum eligibility criteria of Tender document.

While, M/S JAM Sons (Clause 28(2C) and Section 4. RFP, S. No. 5) and M/S LifeTech did not fulfil minimum bid eligibility requirement (instruction to bidders ITB Section. 2 clause 32.1 /RFP, section. 3 (6)) and hence were *ineligible*. Whereas, M/S NRG Enterprises, M/S SAHAAB Enterprises, and M/S SU Enterprises could not secure the minimum qualification score (70%) and hence stand *disqualified*.

The one bidder M/S RAYS Technologies was found complying with the technical specifications as per tender document.

Eligibility:

S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Eligibility	Remarks
1.	JAM Sons	Ineligible	Do not meet eligibility criterion
2.	NRG Enterprises	Eligible	
3.	LifeTech	Ineligible	Do not meet eligibility criterion
4.	RAYS Technologies	Eligible	
5.	SAHAAB Enterprises	Eligible	
6.	SU Enterprises	Eligible	





Qualification:

S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Score	Remarks
1.	NRG Enterprises	48	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)

2.	RAYS Technologies	72	Disqualified; Could not secure minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
3.	SU Enterprises	59	Disqualified; Could not secure minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
4.	SAHAAB Enterprises	57	Disqualified; Could not secure minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)

Recommendations:

It is therefore recommended to the procurement committee that the financial offer of the said bidder, i.e., M/S Rays Technologies may be opened. Furthermore, the financial offers/ bids of the bidders who could not qualify technically, may be returned unopened to respective bidders.

1. Dr. Azhar Hussain, PAF-IAST Convener ----- 
2. Dr. Fazli Wahid, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 
3. Dr. M. Imran Khan, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 
4. Dr. Humaira Ayub, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 

Dated: 26-09-2022

Technical evaluation of tender for Supply of Pharmacy Lab Equipment

LOT # 14. Miscellaneous

In compliance with the Rule 6 (2)(b) "Single Stage, Two Envelope Procedure" laid down in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (KPPRA) Rules -2014, a tender (PAF:IAST-PHMD-EQPT-ITB-22) was published for the "Supply of pharmacy Lab Equipment." Accordingly, the Tender was opened on 29-08-2022. The Tender was categorized into 15 Lots, and a total of one (01) bidder submitted bids for Lot No. 14. Miscellaneous i.e., i) M/S Edulab.





After going through the Bid Proposal, the technical committee thoroughly evaluated the bid submitted by M/S Edulab and found that the bidder did not offer the same item(s) that were demanded in the RFP (instruction to bidders ITB Section. 2 clause 32.1 /RFP) and hence *declared as Ineligible*.

Eligibility:

S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Eligibility	Remarks
1.	Edulab	Ineligible	Do not meet eligibility criterion

Recommendations:

The financial offer/bid of the bidder should be returned unopened to respective bidders. Furthermore, it is recommended that the respective lot should be retendered.

1. Dr. Azhar Hussain, PAF-IAST Convener ----- 
2. Dr. Fazli Wahid, PAF-IAST, Member----- 
3. Dr. M. Imran Khan, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 
4. Dr. Humaira Ayub, PAF-IAST, Member----- 

Technical evaluation of tender for Supply of Pharmacy Lab Equipment

LOT # 15. Water Purification System

In compliance with the Rule 6 (2)(b) "Single Stage, Two Envelope Procedure" laid down in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (KPPRA) Rules -2014, a tender (PAF:IAST-PHMD-EQPT-ITB-22) was published for the "Supply of pharmacy Lab Equipment." Accordingly, the Tender was opened on 29-08-2022. The Tender was categorized into 15 Lots, and a total of six (06) bidders submitted bids for Lot No. 15. Water Purification System i.e., i) M/S PHILCO; ii) M/S SU Enterprises; iii) M/S RAYS Technologies ; iv) M/S CNA International; v) M/S JAM Sons; vi) M/S NRG Enterprises.

The technical committee thoroughly evaluated all the bids and two (02) bidders i.e., M/S M/S Rays Technologies; and M/S PHILCO were found *eligible* according to minimum eligibility criteria of Tender document. Whereas, M/S JAM Sons (Clause 28(2C) and Section 4. RFP, S. No. 5) and M/S SU Enterprises, M/S CNA International, M/S NRG Enterprises did not comply with minimum technical specification as per tender document (instruction to bidders ITB Section. 2 clause 32.1 /RFP, section.3 (6)) and hence were *ineligible*.

The two bidder's M/S PHILCO and M/S Rays Technologies were found complying with the technical specifications as per tender document.

Eligibility:




S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Eligibility	Remarks
1.	PHILCO	Eligible	
2.	SU Enterprises	Ineligible	Do not meet eligibility criterion
3.	JAM Sons	Ineligible	Do not meet eligibility criterion
4.	CNA International	Ineligible	Do not meet eligibility criterion
5.	NRG Enterprises	Ineligible	Do not meet eligibility criterion
6.	RAYS Technologies	Eligible	

Qualification:

S.No.	Name of Firm/bidder	Score	Remarks
1.	PHILCO	73	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)
2.	RAYS Technologies	74	Qualified; Secured minimum qualification score (70% of the total score)

Recommendations:

It is therefore recommended to the procurement committee that the financial offer of the said bidder, i.e., M/S PHILCO and M/S Rays may be opened. Furthermore, the financial offers/ bids of the bidders who could not qualify technically, may be returned unopened to respective bidders.

1. Dr. Azhar Hussain, PAF-IAST Convener ----- 
2. Dr. Fazli Wahid, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 
3. Dr. M. Imran Khan, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 
4. Dr. Humaira Ayub, PAF-IAST, Member ----- 